

School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010–11 School Year

Published During 2011–12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011–12)

School		District	
School Name	Mattole Elementary	District Name	Mattole Unified
Street	29289 Chambers Road	Phone Number	(707) 629-3311

City, State, Zip	Petrolia, CA, 95558-0211	Web Site	www.humboldt.k12.ca.us/mattole_usd
Phone Number	(707) 629-3240	Superintendent	Richard Graey
Principal	Mrs. Glenda Short, Principal	E-mail Address	rgraey@hotmail.com
E-mail Address	glendashort@hotmail.com	CDS Code	12-75382-6008049

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010–11)

The Mission of the Mattole Unified School District is to promote honesty, curiosity, self-respect, creativity, and compassion in our students. We hope to motivate our students to become cooperative problem solvers and knowledgeable, responsible citizens who are inspired to become life long learners. We want our students to be empowered to strive for excellence and hold high expectations for themselves. Above all, we wish to instill in our students a strong sense of self worth and pride and appreciation for who they are and where they come from.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010–11)

Parents are involved through Site Council, classroom volunteering and working with students on special events. Parents are welcome to participate with field trips and projects at the school. Teachers keep parents updated on students' progress in class and academic studies.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010–11)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	8	Grade 8	3
Grade 1	3	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	8	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	7	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	6	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	3	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	7	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	6	Total Enrollment	51

Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010–11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	2.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%

White	98.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	43.1%
English Learners	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	11.8%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2008–09 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K												
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
Other												

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2008–09 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010–11)

Our District participates yearly in trainings for school safety. We are a designated emergency site for the red cross in case of a disaster. We have posted emergency plans and procedures in every classroom and office at the Mattole Site.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2008-09	School 2009-10	School 2010-11	District 2008-09	District 2009-10	District 2010-11
Suspensions	0	2.17	0	0	0.86	0
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

We are inspected yearly through our JPA and any findings that are found, are repaired by our maintenance crew within the year. All repairs are done with consideration to the school schedule so as not to interrupt the normal school day schedule. Mattole has installed new ramps at Mattole Elementary this year and electrical work is currently being done at the site.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		X			
Electrical: Electrical		X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		X			
Overall Rating		X			

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2008-09	School 2009-10	School 2010-11	District 2010-11
With Full Credential	2			
Without Full Credential	2			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00%	0.00%
All Schools in District	97.62%	2.38%
High-Poverty Schools in District	97.62%	2.38%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00%	0.00%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010–11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		
Psychologist		
Social Worker		
Nurse	0.25	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.5	
Other		

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011–12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: _____

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	All texts are state-aligned and good quality.		0
Mathematics	All texts are state-aligned and good quality.		0
Science	All texts are state-aligned and good quality.		0

History-Social Science	All texts are state-aligned and good quality.		0
Foreign Language			
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$29,986	\$11,876	\$18,110	\$42,864
District				\$43,357
Percent Difference – School Site and District				
State			\$5,455	\$57,163
Percent Difference – School Site and State				

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

--

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$34,292	\$37,978
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$48,581	\$55,252
Highest Teacher Salary	\$52,867	\$71,674
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	\$87,651
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$92,196
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$93,352
Superintendent Salary	\$92,700	\$116,851
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	25.00%	34.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.00%	7.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
English-Language Arts	56%	67%	73%	46%	51%	53%	49%	52%	54%
Mathematics	50%	42%	62%	30%	37%	41%	46%	48%	50%
Science	0%	0%	0%	47%	42%	50%	50%	54%	57%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	27%	27%	29%	41%	44%	48%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	53%	41%	50%	29%
All Students at the School	73%	62%	0%	0%
Male	67%	57%	0%	0%
Female	81%	69%	0%	0%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	0%	0%	0%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	74%	59%	0%	0%
Two or More Races	0%	0%	0%	0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	67%	62%	0%	0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: **Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.**

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010–11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school’s test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	66.70%	0.00%	33.30%
7	66.70%	33.30%	0.00%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school’s statewide and similar schools’ API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched “similar schools.” A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school’s academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school’s academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	6	7	4
Similar Schools	N/A		

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2008–09	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11

All Students at the School		34	-53	51
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races	N/D			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	36	826	613	764	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	0		10		317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		32	606	33,774	733
Asian	0		15	817	398,869	898
Filipino	0		1		123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	1		48	730	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		1		26,953	764
White	33	823	457	775	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	1		32	785	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20	792	72	815	2,731,843	726

English Learners	0		0		1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	7		94	710	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010–11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011–12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web page*:

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0.0%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

This section is not applicable to Mattole Elementary School.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

--

Mattole Elementary

School Accountability Report Card, 2010-2011

Mattole Unified