

Application #	
---------------	--

No Child Left Behind Act of 2001
SINGLE SCHOOL DISTRICT PLAN

**To meet the requirements of the
Local Educational Agency Plan and Single Plan for Student Achievement**

mail original* and two copies to: **California Department of Education
School and District Accountability Division
1430 N Street, Suite 6208
Sacramento, California 95814-5901**

(*subsequent amendments are approved by local board and kept on file; do not submit to CDE)

SSD Plan Information:

Name of Local Educational Agency (LEA): **PACIFIC VIEW CHARTER SCHOOL**

County/District Code: 12-629227

Dates of Plan Duration: 2008-09 to 2012-13

Date of Local Governing Board Approval: June 14, 2010

Director: **James Malloy**

Address: **2937 Moore Avenue**

City: **Eureka**

Zip code: **95501**

Phone: **707-269-9490**

Fax: **707-269-9491**

Signatures (Signatures must be original. Please use blue ink.)

The Director and governing board of the LEA submitting the application sign on behalf of all participants included in the preparation of the plan.

Printed or typed name of Director

Date

Signature of Director

Printed or typed name of Board President

Date

Signature of Board President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPIC PAGE

Part I – Background and Overview

<i>Background</i>	4
<i>Descriptions of the Consolidated Application, the Local Educational Agency Plan, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and the Categorical Program Monitoring Process</i>	5
<i>Development Process for the Single School District (SSD) Plan</i>	8
<i>Planning Checklist for SSD Plan Development</i>	12
<i>Federal and State Programs Checklist</i>	13
<i>District Budget for Federal Programs</i>	14
<i>District Budget for State Programs</i>	15

Part II – The Plan

<i>Needs Assessments</i>	16
<i>Academic Achievement</i>	
<i>Professional Development and Hiring</i>	
<i>School Safety</i>	
<i>Descriptions – Program Planning</i>	17
<i>District Profile</i>	18
<i>Local Measures of Student Performance</i>	28
<i>Performance Goal 1A Reading</i>	30
<i>Performance Goal 1B Writing</i>	33
<i>Performance Goal 2 Mathematics</i>	36
<i>Performance Goal 3 Social & Emotional</i>	39

Performance Goal 4 Visual & Performing Arts.....41

Performance Goal 5 Highly Qualified Teachers.....43

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions.....48

Part III – Assurances and Attachments

Assurances.....55

Signatures.....63

School Site Council Recommendations and Assurances.....64

Appendix

Appendix A: California’s NCLB Performance Goals and Performance Indicators.....65

Appendix B: Links to Data Web sites.....68

Part I

Background and Overview

Background

The *No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001* embodies four key principles:

- stronger accountability for results;
- greater flexibility and local control for states, school districts, and schools in the use of federal funds;
- enhanced parental choice for parents of children from disadvantaged backgrounds; and
- a focus on what works, emphasizing teaching methods that have been demonstrated to be effective.

(Text of the legislation can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/fr/>.)

In May 2002, California's State Board of Education (SBE) demonstrated the state's commitment to the development of an accountability system to achieve the goals of NCLB by adopting five **Performance Goals**:

1. **All students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading and mathematics, by 2013-14.**
2. **All limited-English-proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.**
3. **By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.**
4. **All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug-free, and conducive to learning.**
5. **All students will graduate from high school.**

In addition, 12 performance indicators linked to those goals were adopted (see Appendix A), as specified by the U.S. Department of Education (ED). Performance targets, developed for each indicator, were adopted by the SBE in May 2003.

Collectively, NCLB's goals, along with the performance indicators and targets, constitute California's framework for federal accountability. This framework provides the basis for the state's improvement efforts, informing policy decisions by SBE, and implementation efforts by the California Department of Education (CDE) to fully realize the system envisioned by NCLB. It also provides a basis for coordination with California's Legislature and the Governor's Office.

Since 1995, California has been building an educational system consisting of five major components:

- rigorous academic standards
- standards-aligned instructional materials
- standards-based professional development
- standards-aligned assessment
- an accountability structure that measures school effectiveness in light of student achievement

As a result, California is well positioned to implement the tenets of NCLB.

State and federally funded initiatives aimed at improving student achievement must complement each other and work in tandem in order to have the greatest impact. In California, the state and federal consolidated applications, competitive grants, the state accountability system, the Categorical Program Monitoring process, the single **plan for student achievement, local educational agency (LEA) plans**, professional development opportunities, and technical assistance all are moving toward a level of alignment and streamlining. The result of this consolidation will be a cohesive, comprehensive, and focused effort for supporting and improving the state's lowest-performing schools and appropriate reporting mechanisms.

Descriptions of the Consolidated Application, the Local Education Agency Plan, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and Categorical Program Monitoring

In order to meet legislative requirements for specific state and federal programs and funding, California currently employs four major processes: the Consolidated State Application, the Local Educational Agency Plan, the school-level Single Plan for Student Achievement, and Categorical Program Monitoring. **California is moving toward more closely coordinating and streamlining these processes to eliminate redundancies and make them less labor intensive for LEAs, while continuing to fulfill all requirements outlined in state and federal law.**

Below is a brief description of the ways in which these various processes currently are used in California.

The Consolidated Application (ConApp)

The ConApp is the **fiscal** mechanism used by the California Department of Education to distribute categorical funds from various state and federal programs to county offices, school districts, and charter schools throughout California. Annually, in June, each LEA submits Part I of the ConApp to document participation in these programs and provide assurances that the district will comply with the legal requirements of each program. Program entitlements are determined by formulas contained in the laws that created the programs.

Part II of the ConApp is submitted in the fall of each year. It contains the district entitlements for each funded program. Out of each state and federal program entitlement, districts allocate funds for indirect costs of administration, for programs operated by the district office, and for programs operated at schools.

The Local Educational Agency Plan (LEA) Plan

The approval of the LEA Plan by the local school board and the State Board of Education is a requirement for receiving federal funding subgrants for NCLB programs. The LEA Plan includes specific descriptions and assurances as outlined in the provisions included in NCLB. In essence, LEA Plans describe the actions that LEAs will take to ensure that they meet certain **programmatic** requirements, including student academic services designed to increase student achievement and performance, coordination of services, needs assessments, consultations, school choice, supplemental educational services, services to homeless students, and other services as required. In addition, LEA Plans summarize assessment data, school goals and activities from the *Single Plans for Student Achievement* developed by the LEAs schools.

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

State law requires that school-level plans for programs funded through the Consolidated Application be consolidated in a *Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)* (*Education Code* Section 64001), developed by school site councils with the advice of any applicable school advisory committees. LEAs allocate NCLB funds to schools through the Consolidated Application for Title I, Part A, Title III (Limited English Proficient), and Title V (Innovative Programs/Parental Choice). LEAs may elect to allocate other funds to schools for inclusion in school plans. The content of the school plan includes school goals, activities, and expenditures for improving the academic performance of students to the proficient level and above. The plan delineates the actions that are

required for program implementation and serves as the school's guide in evaluating progress toward meeting the goals.

Role of School Site Council

The California *Education Code (EC)*¹ requires the school site council to develop a SPSA for ConApp programs operated at the school or in which the school participates. In addition, Pupil Retention² and School and Library Improvement Block Grant programs³ operated at the school must be included in the SPSA. The school site council must approve the plan, recommend it to the local governing board for approval, monitor implementation of the plan, and evaluate the results. At least annually, the school site council must revise the plan, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, and recommend it to the local governing board for approval.

Composition of School Site Council

Composition of the school site council is specified in the California *Education Code* as follows:

- The school site council shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school;⁴ parents of students attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, students selected by students attending the school.
- **At the elementary level**, the school site council shall be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel; and (b) parents or other community members selected by parents. In schools with fewer than three teachers, this requirement may be met by establishing a school site council that is composed of equal numbers of school staff and parents or other community members selected by parents.
- **At the secondary level**, the school site council shall be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents and students.

¹ *EC* Section 64001(a), (d)

² *EC* Section 41507

³ *EC* Section 41572

⁴ For example, counselors, psychologists, social workers, nurses, instructional aides, library personnel, and clerks employed at the school

- **At both the elementary and secondary levels**, classroom teachers shall comprise the majority of persons represented under subdivision (a) of this section.

Categorical Program Monitoring (CPM)

State and federal law require CDE to monitor the implementation of categorical programs operated by local educational agencies. This state-level oversight is accomplished in part by conducting on-site reviews of eighteen such programs implemented by local schools and districts. Through CPM, the state monitors one-fourth of all school districts each year. Monitoring visits are conducted by state staff and local administrators trained to review one or more of these programs. The purpose of the review is to verify **compliance** with requirements of each categorical program, and to ensure that program funds are spent to increase student achievement and performance.

Development Process for the Single School District (SSD) Plan

LEAs must develop a single, coordinated, and comprehensive plan that describes the educational services for all students that can be used to guide implementation of federal- and state-funded programs, the allocation of resources, and reporting requirements. The development of such a plan involves a continuous cycle of assessment, parent and community involvement, planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. The duration of the Plan should be five years. ***The Plan should be periodically reviewed and updated as needed, but at least once each year.***

This SSD Plan template is an effort to further align and streamline the planning requirements for single school districts, which includes direct-funded charter schools. This document incorporates the required elements of both the SPSA and the LEA Plan. Use of this template meets all state and federal requirements for plans for categorical programs. More detailed information regarding the SPSA can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/singleplan.asp>. The complete guide and template for the LEA Plan can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/>.

In developing the SSD Plan, the SSD will review its demographics, test results, performance, and resources. Given that the majority of such information is readily available in the School Accountability Report Card (SARC), the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) performance results, the Academic Performance Index (API) results, and other data sources, the SSD will find the data easy to access via the Internet. (See Appendix B for links to each of the web sites containing student and staff demographic information, SARC, STAR, and API data.) **The SSD is expected to gather and review information from these resources and use it to inform the planning process.**

The SSD Plan can serve as a summary of all existing state and federal programs and establish a focus for raising the academic performance of all student groups to achieve state academic standards. In the context of this Plan, improvements in instruction, professional development, course offerings, and counseling and prevention programs are means of achieving specific academic and support services goals for all groups of students, including identified under-performing student groups. **State and federal laws require that school site administrators, teachers, and parents from the SSD (which includes direct-funded charter schools) must be consulted in the planning, development, and revision of the SSD Plan.**

The SSD Plan can be completed through the use of a single template following the six steps outlined below. Because the SSD Plan combines the LEA Plan with the SPSA, it becomes the responsibility of the school site council to develop, approve and recommend the SSD Plan to the local governing board for approval.

Step One: Measure the Effectiveness of Current Improvement Strategies

Analyze Student Performance

Conduct a comprehensive data analysis of student achievement, including multiple measures of student performance. Identify all relevant assessments and apply thoughtful analyses of current educational practices to establish benchmarks aimed at raising academic performance for all students, especially identified student groups.

Tables of data for your schools and district are available online:

- API Reports - <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap>
- Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) data - <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr>
- LEA Accountability Reports of Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) for English learners - <http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/el/t3/acct.asp>
- Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Reports – <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay>

Analyze Current Educational Practices, Professional Development, Staffing, and Parental Involvement

Identify, review, and analyze data and related information on factors such as educational practices, parent and community involvement, professional development, support services, and resources that have an impact on student learning.

Over the past several years, CDE has developed several self-assessment tools that schools and districts can use to evaluate these factors and others needed to support academic student achievement:

- The Academic Program Survey (APS) – school-level survey of status of implementation of the nine essential program components (not required for single school districts)
- District Assistance Survey (DAS) – district-level survey of status of implementation of nine essential program components
- Least Restrictive Environment Assessment – examines educational practices for students with disabilities
- English Learner Subgroup Self Assessment (ELSSA) – designed to improve outcomes for English learners

These tools can be found under Improvement Tools in the Virtual Library on the CDE web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/lp/vl/improvtools.asp>.

(See Part II, Needs Assessment, for further details.)

Step Two: Seek Input from Staff, Advisory Committees, and Community Members

The school site council must seek the input of teachers, administrators, councils, committees, parents, and community members (e.g., school health council, committees for Limited English Proficient students, state compensatory education, gifted and talented education, special education, etc.). The most effective plans are those supported by the entire LEA community. The integration of existing program plans, such as Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program, High Priority Schools Grant Program, Alternative Education Programs, Focus on Learning: Secondary School Accreditation, and others does not eliminate any program requirements. The combined process must include the requirements of every program involved.

Step Three: Develop or Revise Performance Goals

Using the five NCLB performance goals and indicators (see Appendix A), develop local performance targets that are: a) derived from school and student subgroup performance data and analysis of related, scientifically based educational practices; b) attainable in the period specified in this Plan and consistent with statewide targets for all students and subgroups; c) specific to the participants (i.e., students, teachers, administrators, paraprofessionals); and d) measurable.

Step Four: Revise Improvement Strategies and Expenditures

For all categorical programs, identify the participants, expected performance gains, and means of evaluating gains. Indicate specific improvements and practical monitoring of their implementation and effectiveness.

Identify available resources. Aside from fiscal resources available through federal and state funding, programmatic resources are available on the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov>. The ConApp provides funding for the following programs: Title I, Parts A and D; Title II, Part A; Title III, Part A; Title IV, Part A; Title V, Part A; Title VI, subpart 2 (Rural Low-Income); School Safety and Violence Prevention; Cal-SAFE; Peer Assistance Review; Tobacco-Use Prevention; Economic Impact Aid; and Middle and High School Supplemental Counseling Program.

Step Five: Local Governing Board Approval

The SSD Plan must be approved by the local governing board prior to submittal to CDE. Ensure that all required signatures are affixed. The SSD Plan must be reviewed and updated annually, and all subsequent amendments should be approved by the local governing board and kept on file with the original SSD Plan.

Step Six: Monitor Implementation

To verify achievement of performance targets, monitor areas such as: a) assignment and training of highly qualified staff; b) identification of participants; c) implementation of services; d) provision of materials and equipment; e) initial and ongoing assessment of performance; and f) progress made toward establishing a safe learning environment.

The analysis of data (student, school-wide, support services, professional development) is part of the ongoing program monitoring and evaluation. When results are **not** as expected, it may be helpful to consider the following: a) How are performance targets and activities based on student performance and factual assessment of current educational practices? b) How educationally sound is the Plan to help reach the targets? c) How timely and effectively is the Plan being implemented? d) If the Plan has not been implemented as written, what were the obstacles to implementation?

You may use the checklist on the next page to indicate planning steps as they are completed.

**PLANNING CHECKLIST
FOR SSD PLAN DEVELOPMENT
(Optional)**

✓	SSD Plan – Comprehensive Planning Process Steps
	1. Measure effectiveness of current improvement strategies
	2. Seek input from staff, advisory committees, and community members
	3. Develop or revise performance goals
	4. Revise improvement strategies and expenditures
	1. Local governing board approval
	2. Monitor Implementation

FEDERAL AND STATE PROGRAMS CHECKLIST

Check (√) all applicable programs operated by the LEA. In the “other” category, list any additional programs that are reflected in this Plan.

Federal Programs		State Programs	
X	Title I, Part A	X	Economic Impact Aid (EIA) – State Compensatory Education
	Title I, Part B, Even Start		EIA – Limited English Proficient
	Title I, Part C, Migrant Education		After-School Education and Safety Programs
	Title I, Part D, Neglected/Delinquent	X	School and Library Improvement Block Grant
X	Title II, Part A, Subpart 2, Improving Teacher Quality		Child Development Programs
X	Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology		Educational Equity
	Title III, Limited English Proficient		Gifted and Talented Education
	Title III, Immigrants		High Priority Schools Grant Program
	Title IV, Part A, Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities		Tobacco Use Prevention Education (Prop 99)
	Title V, Part A, Innovative Programs – Parental Choice		Immediate Intervention/ Under performing Schools Program
	Adult Education		School Safety and Violence Prevention Act (AB1113, AB 658)
	Career Technical Education		Healthy Start
	McKinney-Vento Homeless Education		Dropout Prevention and Recovery Act: School Based Pupil Motivation and Maintenance Program (SB 65)
	<i>Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), Special Education</i>		English Language Acquisition Program
	21 st Century Community Learning Centers		Community Based English Tutoring
	Other (describe):	X	Art/Music Block Grant
	Other (describe):		School Gardens
	Other (describe):		Other (describe):
	Other (describe):		Other (describe):

DISTRICT BUDGET FOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Please complete the following table with information for your district.

Programs	Prior Year District Carryovers	Current Year District Entitlements	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (\$)	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (%)
Title I, Part A	148	1457	1605	100%
Title I, Part B, Even Start				
Title I, Part C, Migrant Education				
Title I, Part D, Neglected/Delinquent				
Title II Part A, Subpart 2, Improving Teacher Quality	903	2990		
Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology	74		74	100%
Title III, Limited English Proficient				
Title III, Immigrants				
Title IV, Part A, Safe and Drug-free Schools and Communities				
Title V, Part A, Innovative Programs – Parental Choice				
Adult Education				
Career Technical Education				
McKinney-Vento Homeless Education				
IDEA, Special Education		11,664	11,664	100%
21 st Century Community Learning Centers				
Other (describe)				
TOTAL	1125	16,111	13,343	100%

DISTRICT BUDGET FOR STATE PROGRAMS

Please complete the following table with information for your district.

Categories	Prior Year District Carryovers	Current Year District Entitlements	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (\$)	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (%)
EIA – State Compensatory Education	157	5749	5906	100%
EIA – Limited English Proficient				
School and Library Improvement Block Grant	81	5545	5626	100%
After School Education and Safety Program				
Child Development Programs				
Educational Equity				
Gifted and Talented Education				
Tobacco Use Prevention Education – (Prop. 99)				
High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSG)				
School Safety and Violence Prevention Act (AB 1113)				
Healthy Start				
Dropout Prevention and Recovery Act: School-based Pupil Motivation and Maintenance Program (SB 65)				
English Language Acquisition Program				
Community Based English Tutoring				
Other (describe)				
TOTAL	238	11,294	11,532	100%

Part II

The Plan

Needs Assessment

The passage of NCLB imposes a number of significant new requirements on LEAs as conditions for funding provided at the state and local levels. Among these are reporting requirements designed to facilitate accountability for improving **student academic performance, teacher quality, and school safety**. As such, a needs assessment to determine strengths and weaknesses in these areas must be conducted.

In determining specific areas of need to be addressed in the SSD Plan, the SSD should review its demographics, test results, and resources. The majority of such information is readily available on the SSD's School Accountability Report Card (SARC), the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) performance results, the California English Language Development Test (CELDT) results, the Academic Performance Index (API) results, California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS), DataQuest, and other data sources. This data is easily accessible via the Internet (see Appendix B for links to each of the Web sites that contain student and staff demographic information, SARC, STAR, CELDT, and API data). The SSD is expected to gather and review its own information from these resources to determine strengths and needs and to shape the planning process.

The Sample School and Student Performance Data Forms provided with the suggested template for the SPSA are also attached to this document in Appendix F. These forms are provided for convenience only; their use is not required. The forms simply provide a suggested format for arraying the data that needs to be reviewed.

Academic Performance

The needs assessment should include a focus on the academic areas highlighted in California's Performance Goals 1, 2, 3, and 5 (see Appendix A for a full listing of all of California's Performance Goals and Indicators), including:

- Statewide standards, assessment, and accountability
- Local assessments and accountability
- Coordination and integration of federal and state educational programs
- The LEA academic assessment plan

Teacher Quality

Another component of the needs assessment should examine local needs for professional development and hiring. LEA teachers and administrators should participate in this process to identify activities that will provide:

- teachers with the subject matter knowledge and teaching skills to provide all students the opportunity to meet challenging state academic achievement standards, and
- principals with the instructional leadership skills to help teachers provide all students the opportunity to meet the state's academic achievement standards.

School Safety and Prevention

The LEA needs assessment also focuses on Performance Goal 4 (see Appendix A). It is based on an evaluation of objective data regarding the incidence of violence, alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal drug use in the elementary and secondary schools and the communities to be served. It includes the objective analysis of the current conditions and consequences regarding violence, alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal drug use, including delinquency and serious discipline problems, among students who attend such schools (including private school students who participate in the drug and violence prevention program). This analysis is based on ongoing local assessment or evaluation activities (Sec. 4115 (a)(1)(A). *California's Healthy Kids Survey* may also provide useful information in this area. The Survey is available at http://www.wested.org/pub/docs/chks_survey.html.

Descriptions – Program Planning

Once local strengths and needs are identified as a result of examining and evaluating current district-level data, specific descriptions can be written of how program goals will be implemented to improve student academic achievement. **On the pages that follow, the SSD will provide descriptions and information about how it plans to address the requirements of NCLB and the SPSA based upon results of the needs assessment.** Collectively, these descriptions, along with the Assurances in Part III of this document, comprise the SSD Plan.

District Profile

**Pacific View Charter School District
 School Accountability Report Card Reported
 for School Year 2007-08 Published During 2008-09**

School		District	
School Name	Pacific View Charter School	District Name	Pacific View Charter School
Street	2937 Moore Avenue	Phone Number	(707) 269-9490
City, State, Zip	Eureka , CA 95501	Web Site	www.humboldt.k12.ca.us/pacificview
Phone Number	707-269-9490	Director	James Malloy
Principal	Virginia Hall	E-mail Address	vhall@humboldt.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	jmalloy@humboldt.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	12-62927-1230150

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the school's goals and programs.

Pacific View serves approximately 225 students each year with a staff of 15 teachers and 6 support staff. We are a WASC accredited California public school. The curriculum adheres to the California State Standards and utilizes a variety of teaching methods and styles. Each class is unique. Our teaching staff values the strength of student mentoring and peer collaboration.

Pacific View Charter School Mission Statement: Improving the lives of all students through programs focused on nurturing and education while supporting parental choice and attention to individualized instruction.

Pacific View Charter School is committed to providing the best education for our students by making positive use of our unique characteristics as a small rural school. Students enjoy small class size where every student is recognized as an individual with talents. Successful students feel competent about what they can do. The school stresses basic skills and the application of those skills aligned to state content standards in a developmentally appropriate approach. The development of skills extends to social skills and growth of students as positive, contributing members of their community.

- We will instill the joy and value of learning in all students
- We will provide a balanced curriculum including language arts, social studies, science, mathematics, physical education, and the arts by using a hands-on approach that encourages individual creativity.
 - We will provide an environment that encourages the development of personal and group responsibilities including positive social skills and effective self-management strategies.
 - We will create a climate that values individual and cultural differences.
- We will foster positive, open and effective partnerships with families and community, as we believe this teamwork is essential for the success of each student.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Pacific View Charter parents contribute by having the opportunity to volunteer in all classrooms on a daily basis, accompany students on field trips/ excursions and providing various classroom supply donations.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	2
Grade 2	1
Grade 3	1
Grade 4	4
Grade 5	12
Grade 6	12
Grade 7	20
Grade 8	26
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	30
Grade 10	28
Grade 11	23
Grade 12	16
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	175

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
White (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	62 %
White (Hispanic Ethnicity)	14%
Black (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	2 %
Native American Indian or Alaskan Native (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	17%
Native American Indian or Alaskan Native (Hispanic Ethnicity)	2%
Other Pacific Islander (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	1%
Asian (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	1%
Samoan (non-Hispanic Ethnicity)	1%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	56%
English Learners	2%
Students with Disabilities	21%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2007-08			2008-09			2009-10					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
2	4	X			4	X			4	X		
3	4	X			4	X			4	X		
4	4	X			4	X			4	X		
5	7	X			7	X			7	X		
6	7	X			7	X			7	X		
7	13	X			13	X			13	X		
8	20	X			20	X			20	X		
9	15	X			15	X			15	X		
10	10	X			10	X			10	X		
11	10	X			10	X			10	X		
12	5	X			5	X			5	X		

School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Pacific View Charter School is a safe place for children to learn and grow. The school implements a strong social curriculum in which behavior and discipline issues are addressed with a systematic approach followed by all staff.

Students and parents understand acceptable rules of behavior and consequences.

Safety reviews are done each month at staff meetings. The plan was updated Spring of 2007.

School Facilities

Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

- The Pacific View Charter School District provides supervision before and after school every school day from 8:00-3:30.
- Guest and volunteers check into the school office before entering the school grounds.
- The school buildings provide adequate space for students. The school consists of 9 classrooms, 1 art studio, 1 weight room, 2 resource rooms and 3 computer labs.
- The facility upgrades completed in April / May 2009 – upgrades included installation of new carpeting in all buildings, complete remodeling of two classrooms and main office and installation and painting of new siding on main building
- Playground perimeter is fenced with three locking gates. All buildings have alarms installed that utilizes an outside company for monitoring.
- The governing board ensures students a clean and safe school. The entire facility is cleaned twice weekly. School buildings, equipment and playground areas are monitored for safety and repair on a regularly scheduled basis.
- Each classroom has state of the art technology with a computer ratio of one computer for every four students.
- The age appropriate libraries are in each classroom so students have daily access to grade appropriate library books.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	x			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	x			
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	x			
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	x			
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)	x			
Restrooms	x			
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Roofs	x			
Overall Cleanliness	x			

Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

Item Inspected	Facility Condition			
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary	Exemplary			

Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found at the [DataQuest](#) Web site.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	12	12	14	N/A
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	N/A
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers at the school, at all schools in the district, at high-poverty schools in the district, and at low-poverty schools in the district. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found at the [NCLB](#) Web site.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	25
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.5	N/A
Other		N/A

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Mathematics	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Science	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
History-Social Science	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Foreign Language	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Health	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	All texts are state aligned and in good quality.	0%

School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the [Current Expense of Education](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the [Certificated Salaries and Benefites](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	1984388		11332	38500
District	1984388		11332	38500
Percent Difference - School Site and District	0		0	0
State	49199640837		8736	
Percent Difference - School Site and State				

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Pacific View Charter School District provides high quality standards based instruction to all K-6 students. The Management Team meets throughout the school year to identify individual student needs. The staff and Board have a strong commitment to educating the "whole child".

Categorical Programs revenue provides fund for part time instructional aides, music teacher, art teacher, technology aide, and PE teacher.

The district has a part time Speech therapist through special education funding.

Through the CORE Academic program the district is able to offer homework invention for students at risk of retention.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This table displays district-level salary information for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the [Certificated Salaries and Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	38500	
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	38500	
Highest Teacher Salary	38500	
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		
Average Principal Salary (High)	53045	
Superintendent Salary	79912	
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	28.41%	
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.75%	

Student Performance

California Standards Tests

The California Standards Tests (CSTs) show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 2 through 11; science in grades 5, 8, and 9 through 11; and history-social science in grades 8, and 10 through 11. Student scores are reported as performance levels.

Detailed information regarding CST results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web page.

CST Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	25	32		69	40		37	40	
Mathematics	25	18		31	43		41	43	
Science	17	10		69	40		40	44	
History-Social Science	23	12		53	20		36	42	

CST Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	25	21	17	17
Male	31	20	19	13
Female	17	0	6	0
Economically Disadvantaged	26	18	11	9
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the [Physical Fitness Testing](#) Web page.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones
5	
7	
9	

Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the [API Web page](#).

API Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. These ranks are published when the Base API is released each March. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	1	1	1
Similar Schools	N/A	N/A	N/A

API Changes by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009
All Students at the School	<7>	8		
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	24	<5>		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	<17>	N/A		
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the [AYP](#) Web page.

AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	No	No
Graduation Rate	55%	55%

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the [AYP](#) Web page.

Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	05/06	N/A
Year in Program Improvement	5	5
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	1	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	100	50

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

The Pacific View Charter School encourages staff member's participation in professional development opportunities. The Humboldt County Office of Education is a resource for most of these opportunities. Yet staff members are encouraged to attend workshops pertinent to needs wherever the workshop is held.

Local Measures of Student Performance (*other* than State-level assessments)

As Per NCLB Section 1112 regarding Local Educational Agency Plans, each LEA must provide the following descriptions in its Plan:

The following chart is a description of high-quality student academic assessments, in addition to the academic assessments described in the State Plan under section 1111(b) (3), that the local educational agency uses to:

- a) determine the success of students in meeting the State student academic achievement standards and provide information to teachers, parents, and students on the progress being made toward meeting student academic achievement standards;
- b) assist in diagnosis, teaching, and learning in the classroom in ways that best enable low-achieving students to meet State student achievement academic standards and do well in the local curriculum;
- c) determine what revisions are needed to projects under this part so that such children meet the State student academic achievement standards; and
- d) identify effectively students who may be at risk for reading failure or who are having difficulty reading, through the use of screening, diagnostic, and classroom-based instructional reading assessments.

	Writing	Reading	Math	Science/Social Studies	P.E.	Music	Art
Kindergarten-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklists • Rubrics • Work Samples 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accelerated Reader • Reading Observation • Running records • Detroit Quick Word • ABC sound/symbol check • Dibbles • Total Reading – comprehension & phonograms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Math Their Way • District Assessment • End of Ch. Tests • Observations • Work Samples 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thematic unit objective checklists • Observations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklists 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Observations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work Samples
7-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklist • Rubrics • Work Samples 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accelerated Reader • Running records • Detroit Quick Word • ABC sound/symbol check • Dibbles • Total Reading – comprehension & phonograms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District Assessment • End of Ch. Tests • Observations • Work Samples 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thematic unit objective checklists • Observations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklists 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Observations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work Samples
9-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rubrics • Work Samples 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accelerated Reader • ECKWALL reading inventory • Detroit Quick Word • One on one reading evaluations for fluency & comprehension 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unit tests • End of Ch. Tests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thematic unit projects • Observations • Science notebooks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklists 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Observations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work Samples

In addition to the above, teachers at all grade levels keep yearly portfolios, divided by tri-mester, with representative samples of student work in all subject areas.

Performance Goal 1: All students will reach high standards, at a minimum, attaining proficiency or better in reading by 2013-2014.

<p>SCHOOL GOAL # 1A - Reading</p> <p>Maintain strong reading comprehension and literary analysis skills as evidenced by CST scores in English/Language Arts, with 90% of students at proficient level and above by the end of the 2011-2012 school year.</p>	
<p>Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:</p> <p>K-12</p>	<p>Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:</p> <p>By the end of the year, 90% of the students will be proficient or beyond grade level as evidenced by local measures of performance (grades K-12) and CST results (grades 2-11).</p>
<p>Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:</p> <p>District adopted assessments and CST data</p>	<p>Data to be collected to measure academic gains:</p> <p>CST results, Accelerated Reader, Dibbles, Detroit Quick Words, Eckwall Qualitative Reading Inventory, running records</p>

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Reading -

Description of specific actions to improve student achievement in Reading and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>1. Use of standards-aligned instructional materials and strategies:</p> <p>Review and adopt new State adopted reading program</p>	<p>Virginia Hall James Malloy</p> <p>By July, 2012</p>	<p>Staff Salaries</p>		<p>General Fund</p>
<p>2. Staff development and professional collaboration to continue ensuring alignment of instruction with content standards:</p> <p>Teachers will attend adoption training sessions necessary to implement new state materials; weekly and monthly curricular collaboration meetings between all teachers; new teacher mentorship</p>	<p>Shawn Magnuson, Michelle Gibbons, Marny Hulbert, Virginia Hall</p> <p>Ongoing and by August, 2012</p>	<p>Substitute Pay</p> <p>Staff Salaries</p>	<p>\$300</p>	<p>General Fund</p>

Description of specific actions to improve student achievement in Reading and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
3. Extended learning time: Resource	Suzie Kison Ongoing	Staff Salary		Sp. Ed & Title I
4. Increased access to technology: Accelerated Reader & Phonics programs	All staff Ongoing	Staff Salaries		General fund
5. Involvement of staff, parents, and community (including notification procedures, parent outreach, and interpretation of student assessment results to parents): CST test results are sent out to parents/guardians of each student who took the test, daily reading homework, staff provides ongoing support for parents	All staff Ongoing	Staff Salaries		General fund
6. Monitoring program effectiveness: Assessment results; CST test results (MMRS); weekly and monthly curricular meetings; parent survey; teacher evaluations; new teacher mentorship	All staff Ongoing	Staff Salaries		General fund

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Reading and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
7. Targeting services and programs to lowest-performing student groups: identification of low performing students and scheduling of student study teams as needed and recommended by classroom teachers	All staff Ongoing	Staff Salaries		General fund

<p>SCHOOL GOAL # 1B – WRITING</p> <p>Improve writing organization skills and voice, explicitly using the writing process.</p>	
<p>Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:</p> <p>K-12</p>	<p>Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:</p> <p>By the end of the 2011-2012 school year, 90% of the students will be proficient or beyond grade level. Ninety percent of fourth graders and 7th graders will score proficient or better on the CST writing test.</p>
<p>Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:</p> <p>District adopted standards based rubrics: 4th grade and 7th grade CST writing test.</p>	<p>Data to be collected to measure academic gains:</p> <p>District adopted rubric results, 4th grade and 7th grade CST writing test results, individual grade level assessments</p>

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Writing -

Description of specific actions to improve student achievement in Writing and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>1. Use of standards-aligned instructional materials and strategies:</p> <p>Implement <i>Step Up To Writing</i> program across all grade levels; improve district writing assessments at each grade level</p>	<p>Marny Hulbert Virginia Hall</p> <p>By September 2012 and ongoing</p>	<p>Purchase additional <i>Step Up To Writing</i> materials for K-6</p>	<p>\$1500</p>	<p>Lottery</p>
<p>2. Staff development and professional collaboration to continue ensuring alignment of instruction with content standards:</p> <p>Teachers will attend <i>Step Up To Writing</i> training; new teacher mentorship</p>	<p>Marny Hulbert Virginia Hall Suzie Kison</p> <p>By September 2012 and ongoing</p>	<p>Staff Salaries</p>		<p>General Fund</p> <p>BTSA</p>

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Writing and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
3. Extended learning time: Resource	Suzie Kison Francis Mark Ongoing	Staff Salary		Title I
4. Increased access to technology: Review and purchase programs to support <i>Step Up To Writing</i>; allocate computer time for student writing as appropriate per grade level; access to Dragon Program as needed	All Staff Virginia Hall James Malloy Ongoing	Staff Salaries Materials Purchase	\$1000	General Fund General Fund
5. Involvement of staff, parents, and community (including notification procedures, parent outreach, and interpretation of student assessment results to parents): Fourth and seventh grade writing test results are sent out to parents/guardians of each student who took the test; Parents are made aware of performance in writing at parent conferences and through portfolios. Some assignments will require parent responses to student writing.	All Staff – Office and Teaching Parents Ongoing	Staff salaries Mailings	\$200	General Fund General Fund
6. Auxiliary services for students and parents (including transition from preschool, elementary, or middle school): School wide visitation encouraged	Ongoing			
7. Monitoring program effectiveness: Classroom writing rubrics; Forth and Seventh Grade CST writing test results; parent survey; teacher evaluations; new teacher mentorship; reporting to school board	Teachers Virginia Hall James Malloy School Board Parents Ongoing	Staff salaries Mailings	\$600 \$200	General Fund

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Writing and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
8. Targeting services and programs to lowest-performing student groups: Resource aides; identification of low performing students and scheduling of student study teams as needed and recommended by classroom teachers	Suzie Kison Frances Mark Jane Swingrover Ongoing	Staff salaries		Title 1

Performance Goal 2: All students will reach high standards, at a minimum, attaining proficiency or better in mathematics by 2013-2014.

<p>SCHOOL GOAL # 2</p> <p>Maintain strong mathematical skills as evidenced by CST scores, with all students at 90% Proficient level and above by the end of the 2011-2012 school year.</p>	
<p>Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:</p> <p>K-12</p>	<p>Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:</p> <p>By the end of the year, 90% of the students will be proficient or beyond grade level as evidenced by local measures of performance (grades K-12) and CST results (grades 2-11).</p>
<p>Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:</p> <p>District adopted assessments and CST data</p>	<p>Data to be collected to measure academic gains:</p> <p>CST results; unit test results; work samples</p>

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Mathematics

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1. Alignment of instruction with content standards: All teachers use newly adopted texts aligned with state standards. Replacement units used for instruction are all standards based and based on best practices research.	Virginia Hall Ongoing	Materials Purchase	\$1500	State Instructional Material Fund
2. Use of standards-aligned instructional materials and strategies: All students are using newly adopted texts – one per child. All materials & manipulatives are aligned with state standards.	Virginia Hall Ongoing	Materials Purchase	\$1500	State Instructional Materials Fund
3. Extended learning time: Resource and Math Lab	Suzie Kison Francis Mark Jane Swingrover Dusty Scofield ongoing	Staff Salary	\$3022	Title I

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
4. Increased access to technology: New math adoptions came with assessment discs & student reinforcement programs, links to web help which are aligned with units being studied. Students have access to this technology 1-3 times per week in class.	All Staff Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund
5. Staff development and professional collaboration aligned with standards-based instructional materials: Staff attended text adoption workshop and will continue to discuss best use of the new materials in curricular meetings. New teacher support is ongoing.	All Staff Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund
6. Involvement of staff, parents, and community (including notification procedures, parent outreach, and interpretation of student assessment results to parents): CST results are sent out to parents/guardians of each student who took the test; staff provides ongoing support for parents; upper grades have math website links to use at home; parent conferences; results are presented to community at board meetings, and posted via API and AYP scores on county website	All Staff Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund
7. Auxiliary services for students and parents (including transition from preschool, elementary, or middle school): middle school transition conferences with upper grade teacher; availability of math practice workbooks over the summer	All Staff Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund
8. Monitoring program effectiveness: Assessment results; CST results (MMRS); curricular meetings; parent survey; teacher evaluations; new teacher mentorship	All Staff Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
9. Targeting services and programs to lowest-performing student groups: Identification of low performing students and scheduling of student study teams as needed and recommended by classroom teachers; Math tutor; Math lab	Suzie Kison Frances Mark Jane Swingrover Frank Kutil Ongoing	Staff salaries		General Fund Title I

Performance Goal 3: Social & Emotional

<p>SCHOOL GOAL # 3 <i>All students will be educated in & participate in learning environments that are safe, drug free, healthy and conducive to learning.</i></p>	
<p>Grade levels to participate in this goal: K-12</p>	<p>Anticipated annual performance growth: Students will demonstrate improved citizenship in the classroom and on the playground after learning skills and strategies that contribute to positive school community. School administration will see a 70% decrease in behavior referrals by the 2011-2012 school year.</p>
<p>Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Quarterly citizenship self assessments by students,; Update & send out bi-annual school climate survey; discussions regarding student social issues at weekly Management Team meetings.</p>	<p>Data to be collected to measure gains: Parent Survey, staff anecdotal evidence, tracking of student referrals to office</p>

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Social & Emotional

Describe the following specific activities addressing the above social & emotional goals:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>1. All credentialed staff will complete Responsive Classroom I training & implement RC methods to create a cohesive learning environment that is consistent in language, management techniques & daily schedule.</p> <p>http://www.responsiveclassroom.org/about/aboutrc.html</p>	<p>All credential staff</p> <p>2011-2012 and ongoing</p>	<p>Workshop Registration Fees</p> <p>Travel Expenses</p>	<p>\$3400</p> <p>\$3000</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p>

Describe the following specific activities addressing the above social & emotional goals:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>2. We will schedule the Healthy Play trainers to come in & train staff & students in Healthy Play techniques. Follow up training will include parents at a Curricular Night.</p> <p>http://www.joyinlearning.com/play.html</p>	<p>All staff All students Parents</p> <p>Fall 2009</p>	<p>Staff salaries and wages</p> <p>Workshop Expenditures</p>	<p>\$2000</p>	<p>General Fund</p> <p>Title II Professional Development Block Grant</p>
<p>3. All credentialed staff will complete Responsive Classroom II training to build on the previously acquired Responsive Classroom skills. Staff will continue to utilize Responsive Classroom techniques to encourage students to be self directed in their learning goals.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>Summer 2011</p>	<p>Workshop Registration Fees</p> <p>Travel Expenses</p>	<p>\$3400</p> <p>\$3000</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p>
<p>4. All students will participate in red ribbon week to increase awareness of choosing healthy, drug free lifestyles.</p> <p>http://www.redribboncoalition.com/</p>	<p>All staff All students</p> <p>Yearly</p>	<p>Supplies</p>	<p>\$300</p>	<p>General Fund</p>
<p>5. Pacific View Charter School will continue to maintain a low pupil to adult ratio, in order to provide the individualized instructional support necessary for academic success.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>ongoing</p>	<p>Staff salaries</p>		<p>General Fund</p>
<p>6. Pacific View Charter School will bring character education and anti-bullying programs and performances such as Qwack & Wabbit to perform for students at all grade levels.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>Yearly</p>	<p>Performance fees</p>	<p>\$800</p>	<p>Parent donation</p>

Performance Goal 4: Visual & Performing Arts

<p>SCHOOL GOAL # 4 <i>Master grade level standards in visual & performing arts (i.e. learning the discrete disciplines, their relationships to each other, and, when appropriate, integrate with core subjects and themes).</i></p>	
<p>Grade levels to participate in this goal: K-12</p>	<p>Anticipated annual performance growth: Every student will participate in instruction in the principles and elements of the visual and performing arts. As students progress through the grades, students will demonstrate a deeper understanding and more sophisticated application of the visual and performing arts through their works, performances and critiques.</p>
<p>Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Report card evaluation from the visual art and music teachers. Staff developed rubrics. Self evaluation by the students.</p>	<p>Data to be collected to measure gains: Student portfolios, music and theatrical performances; rubrics; written evaluations from teachers and students.</p>

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Visual & Performing Arts

Describe the following specific activities addressing the above visual & performing arts goals:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1. Students will be instructed by a credentialed teacher for K-12.	All Teachers	Staff salary		General Fund

Describe the following specific activities addressing the above visual & performing arts goals:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
2. Credentialed staff will attend NCAP (Northern California Arts Project) training.	All Teachers Summer 2012	Workshop Registration Fees Travel Expenses	\$4000 \$2000	Title II Professional Development Block Grant
3. All students will be given the opportunity to participate in theatrical productions.	All Teachers ongoing	Staff salaries Instructional materials	\$600 \$600	Lottery
4. Students will apply their knowledge of the principles and elements of art, reflecting upon and critiquing artistic pieces and performances – their own and the works of others.	All classroom teachers; students ongoing	Staff salaries Instructional Materials	\$600 \$600	Arts Block Grant

Performance Goal 5: By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

Summary of Needs and Strengths for Professional Development

Based on a needs assessment of teacher data for your school/district, include a narrative that describes areas of needed professional development and areas where adequate professional development opportunities exist.

[Description of activities under Title II, Part A, Subpart 1, Grants to SSD]

STRENGTHS	NEEDS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All credentialed teaching staff are highly qualified, including Art, P.E. and Music teachers • All certificated staff are CLAD/CELDT certified • All credentialed teaching staff and administration have completed Responsive Classroom I training • New (2/3) teacher is currently in the BTSA program • Majority of credentialed staff and one classified staff member have completed Healthy Play training • Administration and School Board are highly supportive of professional development • Management Team meets weekly to discuss curriculum, social issues, plans for future events, etc... 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Credentialed and administrative staff need to complete Responsive Classroom II training • Classified staff, one teacher and administrative assistant need to take Healthy Play training • Entire staff could benefit from refresher on Healthy Play • Money to pay for further professional development needs – including comprehensive trainings, such as RC II, and day workshops at the County Office of Education • Ongoing training in best uses of technology in the classroom

Performance Goal 5: *By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.*

SCHOOL GOAL # 5

All students will continue to be taught by highly qualified teachers according to NCLB standards. All staff will also be highly qualified to implement Responsive Classroom techniques.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:
K-12; all teaching staff

Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
The district will continue to hire only Highly Qualified Teachers and will provide professional development opportunities to ensure that teachers remain highly qualified (if reassignment is necessary). All staff will participate in professional development activities that increase their abilities to offer Pacific View Charter students a strong, standards based curriculum aligned to the needs of all students, as well as a strong social curriculum.

Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:
District adopted assessments and CST data; Staff professional needs surveys; Staff evaluations; Update & send out bi-annual school climate survey

Data to be collected to measure academic gains:
CST results; unit test results; work samples; API & AYP results
Parent Survey, staff anecdotal evidence

Planned Improvements for Professional Development (Title II)

Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>1. How the professional development activities are aligned with the State's challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, State assessments, and the curricula and programs tied to the standards:</p> <p>Professional development courses at HCOE are standards aligned. New teachers participate in BTS program and work with highly qualified mentor teachers. New text adoption trainings are standards aligned.</p>	<p>All Staff Ongoing</p>	<p>Workshop Registration Fees</p>	<p>\$2000</p>	<p>Title II Professional Development Block Grant</p>

Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>2. How the activities will be based on a review of scientifically based research and an explanation of why the activities are expected to improve student academic achievement:</p> <p>3. How the activities will have a substantial, measurable, and positive impact on student academic achievement and how the activities will be used as part of a broader strategy to eliminate the achievement gap that separates low-income and minority students from other students:</p> <p>Findings have associated the Responsive Classroom approach with higher student test scores, better social skills, and fewer problem behaviors. For teachers, findings show an increased sense of efficacy and more high-quality instruction.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>Summer 2012</p>	<p>Workshop Registration Fees</p> <p>Travel Expenses</p>	<p>\$3400</p> <p>\$3000</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p>
<p>4. How the LEA will coordinate professional development activities authorized under Title II, Part A, Subpart 2 with professional development activities provided through other Federal, State, and local programs:</p> <p>All professional development opportunities are funded by a variety of sources, as each source is not individually sufficient to fund many or sometimes even one professional development activity.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Workshop Registration Fees</p>	<p>\$500</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p>
<p>5. The professional development activities that will be made available to teachers and principals and how the LEA will ensure that professional development (which may include teacher mentoring) needs of teachers and principals will be met:</p> <p>HCOE offers a variety of courses in all curricular areas. HSU offers opportunities for professional development through workshops and graduate studies. New teacher is involved in BTSA program and works with a mentor teacher. Administrative staff attends regional, state and web conferences.</p>	<p>All Staff</p> <p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Workshop & conference registration fees</p> <p>Travel expenses</p>	<p>\$2000</p> <p>\$1000</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p>

Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
<p>9. How the SSD will provide training to enable teachers to:</p> <p>a. Teach and address the needs of students with different learning styles, particularly students with disabilities, students with special learning needs (including students who are gifted and talented), and students with limited English proficiency HCOE workshops; mentor teacher; management team meetings; contract with experts such as resource specialists and psychologists</p> <p>b. Improve student behavior in the classroom and identify early and appropriate interventions to help all students learn Responsive Classroom training; mentor teacher; contract with experts such as resource specialists and psychologists</p> <p>c. Involve parents in their child’s education and Mailings, parent survey, weekly notes from teachers with suggestions, monthly school newsletter, volunteer opportunities, parent teacher conferences (2 required and by request at any time of the year)</p> <p>d. Understand and use data and assessments to improve classroom practice and student learning Administrative staff and CST coordinator ongoing training by HCOE staff in interpreting test results from MMRS. Classroom teachers using Accelerated Reader. Staff is researching standards based software such as Pearson’s Successmaker as an additional assessment tool. Use of CDE blueprints to guide instruction.</p>	<p>Office Staff</p> <p>Administrative Staff</p> <p>HCOE staff & specialists</p> <p>Resource</p> <p>Parents</p> <p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Workshop & conference registration fees</p> <p>Travel expenses</p> <p>Contract rates</p> <p>COOP fees</p> <p>Mailings</p>	<p>\$2000</p> <p>\$1000</p> <p>\$400</p>	<p>Title II</p> <p>Professional Development Block Grant</p> <p>General Fund</p>
<p>10. How the SSD will use funds under this subpart to meet the requirements of Section 1119: N/A – All certificated and paraprofessional staff meet NCLB Highly Qualified requirements.</p>				

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

Please include in the space below the following descriptions mandated by NCLB legislation. If the SSD has already included any of the descriptions, they do not need to be provided again here; please indicate the page number or section of the Plan where this information is included.

<p>Please provide a general description of the nature of the programs to be conducted by the SSD under Sections 1114, "Schoolwide Programs," and/or Section 1115, "Targeted Assistance Schools." All ten of the required components must be addressed. (For more information on Schoolwide, please go to http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/rt/; for Targeted Assistance go to http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/rt/tasinfo.asp).</p>	
	<p>Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:</p>
<p>For schoolwide programs (SWP), describe how the SSD will bring together all resources to upgrade the entire educational program at the school and include assistance in activities such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school in relation to state standards. School wide reform strategies that provide opportunities for all children to meet state standards. • Effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically-based research. • Strategies that give primary consideration to extended learning time, extended school year, before and after school and summer programs. • Proven strategies that address the needs of historically under served students, low achieving students, and those at risk of not meeting state standards. • Instruction by highly qualified teachers and strategies to attract and keep such teachers. • High quality and ongoing professional development for 	<p>Pacific View Charter is a charter school district with approximately 175 students enrolled K-12. A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school in relation to the state standards occurs at the beginning of each school year when CST results are posted, and mid-year as we revisit and revise the goals of this plan. Based on the CST results, the management team plans and initiates strategies to help all students attain proficiency or better (ex. Accelerated Reader, extra time with classroom aides, resource support as needed). Technology support is provided in each classroom.</p> <p>All teachers are highly qualified. New teachers are involved in the BTSA program and are mentored by veteran teachers. All staff members, teaching, administrative and paraprofessional, participate in ongoing workshops, conferences and training to enhance professional development.</p> <p>Parents are encouraged to attend back to school night, open house and various after school opportunities. Weekly notes</p>

<p>teachers, principals, paraprofessionals, and if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents and other staff.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategies to increase parental involvement. • Assistance to preschool children in transitioning from early childhood programs to elementary school programs. • Timely and effective additional assistance to students who experience difficulty mastering state standards. 	<p>home offer strategies for parents to help students learn at home. Parent conferences are held two times per school year and are available anytime by appointment.</p>
<p>For targeted assistance programs (TAS), describe how the SSD will identify participating students most at risk of failing to meet state standards and help those students to meet the State’s challenging academic standards. The description should include activities such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically-based research. • Strategies that give primary consideration to extended learning time, extended school year, before and after school and summer programs. • Strategies that minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction. • Instruction by highly qualified teachers. • Professional development opportunities for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals, including if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff. • Strategies to increase parental involvement. 	<p>Not applicable; Pacific View Charter is not a TAS</p>

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

<p>Please describe how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel in targeted assistance schools under Section 1115, "Targeted Assistance Schools," will identify the eligible children most in need of services under this part. Please note that multiple, educationally related criteria must be used to identify students eligible for services. Where applicable, provide a description of appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children in community day school programs, and homeless children.</p>	
	Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:
<p>Describe who is involved and the criteria used to identify which students in a targeted assistance school will receive services. The criteria should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify children who are failing or most at risk of failing to meet the state academic content standards. • Use multiple measures that include objective criteria such as state assessments, and subjective criteria such as teacher judgment, parent interviews and classroom grades. <p>Include solely teacher judgment, parent interviews and developmentally appropriate measures, if the district operates a preschool through grade 2 program with Title I funds.</p>	Not applicable; school is schoolwide.
The description should include services to homeless children, such as the appointment of a district liaison, immediate enrollment, transportation, and remaining in school of origin.	N/A
The description should include services to children in a local institution for neglected or delinquent children and youth or attending a community day program, if appropriate.	N/A

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

<p>Please describe the actions the SSD will take to obtain assistance if identified under Section 1116, “Academic Assessment and Local Educational Agency and School Improvement,” as in need of improvement. Note that the federal guidance indicates that in the case of direct-funded charter schools, the charter authorizer should play a role in providing this assistance.</p>	
	<p>Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:</p>
<p>If the SSD is a PI school(s), describe technical assistance activities the SSD will obtain, such as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assistance in developing, revising, and implementing the school plan. • Analyzing data to identify and address problems in instruction, parental involvement, professional development and other areas. • Assistance in implementing proven and effective strategies that will address the problems that got the school identified as PI and will get the school out of PI. • Assistance in analyzing and revising the school budget so the school’s resources are used effectively. 	<p>Pacific View Charter School uses LARS and MMRS to analyze CST data to guide instruction, purchase standards based curriculum and materials and choose professional development opportunities that will enable students to score proficient or above on the CST.</p> <p>PVCS obtains assistance from HCOE to identify the problems that caused program improvement status and assists us in making a plan that will result in PVCS not having PI status.</p> <p>Director works closely with HCOE to assure fiscal resources are used effectively to enable PVCS to implement PI Plan.</p>

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

<p>Please describe the actions the SSD will take to implement public school choice with paid transportation and Supplemental Educational Services, consistent with the requirements of Section 1116, "Academic Assessment and Local Educational Agency and School Improvement."</p>	
	<p>Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:</p>
<p>Describe the process for parent notification of the school's identification as PI, including notification of the right for students to transfer to another school that is not PI with paid transportation, and the right to receive supplemental services.</p>	<p>Parents are notified by mailing that PVCS is in PI and they have a right to transfer to a non-PI school. Up-to-date no one has chosen to leave the school due to PI status.</p>
<p>Describe how the SSD will provide school choice and supplemental services to eligible children, including the selection of the children to receive services.</p>	<p>Humboldt County currently has no supplemental service providers that are state approved. PVCS uses LARS and MMRS to identify students to receive extra help in school by aides and resource personnel.</p>

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

<p>Please describe the strategy the SSD will use to coordinate programs under Title I with programs under Title II to provide professional development for teachers and principals, and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, administrators, parents in accordance with Section 1118, "Parental Involvement," and Section 1119, "Qualifications for Teachers and Paraprofessionals."</p>	
	<p>Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:</p>
<p>Describe the SSD's strategies for coordinating resources and efforts to retain, recruit and increase the number of highly qualified teachers, principals, and other staff.</p>	<p>See Goal 5, sections 1,4, & 5. All staff are already highly qualified. Staffing is adequate for the current number of students and there are no plans, nor is there funding, to increase the number of staff.</p>
<p>Describe the SSD's strategies for coordinating resources and efforts to prepare parents to be involved in the school and in their children's education.</p>	<p>Parent/teacher conferences are held at the end of the Quarter 1 & 3 and parents are encouraged to schedule conferences as needed. Parent volunteerism is high at Pacific View Charter in all grade levels. Each teacher sends home a weekly letter addressing the academic goals and units of study in all curricular areas. Each school year the staff organizes a Back to School Night and Open House.</p> <p>Graduating 8th grade students are encouraged to continue their high school education at PVCS.</p>

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

<i>Coordination of Educational Services</i>	
<p>In the space below, please describe how the LEA will coordinate and integrate educational services to increase program effectiveness, eliminate duplication, and reduce fragmentation of the instructional program. Include programs such as: Even Start; Head Start; Reading First; Early Reading First and other preschool programs (including plans for the transition of participants in such programs to local elementary school programs; services for children with limited English proficiency; children with disabilities; migratory children; neglected or delinquent youth; Native American (Indian) students served under Part A of Title VII; homeless children; and immigrant children.</p>	
	<p>Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:</p>
<p>Describe how the SSD will coordinate and integrate educational services to increase program effectiveness, eliminate duplication, and reduce fragmentation of the instructional program, including programs such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Even Start b. Head Start c. Reading First d. Early Reading First e. Other preschool programs f. Services for children that are migratory, neglected or delinquent, Native American (Title VII, Part A), homeless, immigrant, and limited-English proficient, and children with disabilities. <p>Compare to programs listed on Page 11 of the SSD Plan to determine if all active programs have been addressed.</p>	<p>All Pacific View Charter School staff are qualified to meet the needs of such students.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All credentialed staff are CLAD/CELDT certified. • Facility is ADA approved. • Speech and Special Education teachers are highly qualified and hold appropriate credentials to meet special student needs. • Free and Reduced lunches are available for eligible students. • As mentioned in Goals 1A, 1B, & 2, extra support is available to students from classroom aides and in technology. • School coordinates with HCOE regarding homeless & migrant students and will contract services from HCOE as needed. School staff member, Ron Flenner, is liaison for homeless.

Part III Assurances and Attachments

ASSURANCES

To assure the SSD's eligibility for funds included in this Plan, the Superintendent must provide an original signature below attesting to compliance with all of the following statements.

GENERAL ASSURANCES

1. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
2. The SSD will comply with all applicable supplement not supplant and maintenance of effort requirements.
3. (a) The control of funds provided under each program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency, a non-profit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to those entities; (b) the public agency, non-profit private agency, institution or organization, or Indian tribe will administer the funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing law.
4. The SSD will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including – (a) the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (b) the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
5. The SSD will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by, or for, the State educational agency, the Secretary, or other Federal officials.
6. The SSD will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, Federal funds paid to the applicant under each such program.
7. The SSD will – (a) submit such reports to the State educational agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary as the State educational agency and Secretary may require to enable the State educational agency and Secretary to perform their duties under each such program; and (b) maintain such records, provide such information, and afford such access to the records as the State educational agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the

Secretary may reasonably require to carry out the State educational agency's or the Secretary's duties.

8. The SSD has consulted with teachers, school administrators, parents, and others in the development of the local consolidated application/SSD Plan to the extent required under Federal law governing each program included in the consolidated application/SSD Plan.
9. Before the application was submitted, the SSD afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and considered such comment.
- 9a. The SSD will provide the certification on constitutionally protected prayer that is required by Section 9524.
10. The SSD will comply with the armed forces recruiter access provisions required by Section 9528.

TITLE I, PART A

The SSD, hereby, assures that it will:

11. Participate, if selected, in the State National Assessment of Educational Progress in 4th and 8th grade reading and mathematics carried out under Section 411(b)(2) of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994.
12. If the SSD receives more than \$500,000 in Title I funds, it will allow one percent to carry out NCLB Section 1118, Parent Involvement, including promoting family literacy and parenting skills; 95 percent of the allocation will be distributed to schools.
13. Inform the school community and parents of schoolwide program authority and the ability to consolidate funds from Federal, State, and local sources.
14. Provide technical assistance and support to schoolwide programs.
15. Develop the school plan pursuant to California *Education Code* Section 64001 and Section 1114 and undertake activities pursuant to Section 1115 so adequate yearly progress toward meeting the State student academic achievement standards is made.
16. Fulfill school improvement responsibilities under section 1116, including taking actions under paragraphs (7) and (8) of Section 1116(b).
17. Provide services to eligible children attending private elementary schools and secondary schools in accordance with Section 1120, and timely and meaningful consultation with private school officials regarding such services.
18. Take into account the experience of model programs for the educationally disadvantaged, and the findings of relevant scientifically based research indicating that services may be most effective if focused on students in the earliest grades at schools that receive funds under this part.

19. In the case of an SSD that chooses to use funds under this part to provide early childhood development services to low-income children below the age of compulsory school attendance, ensure that such services comply with the performance standards established under Section 641A(a) of the Head Start Act.
20. Develop and implement plans or activities under sections 1118 and 1119 and California *Education Code* Section 64001.
21. Comply with requirements regarding the qualifications of teachers and paraprofessionals and professional development.
22. Inform the school community of the SSD's authority to obtain waivers on the school's behalf under Title IX.
23. Coordinate and collaborate, to the extent feasible and necessary with the State educational agency and other agencies providing services to children, youth, and families with respect to a school in school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 concerning factors that have significantly affected student achievement at the school.
24. Ensure, through the provision of professional development, recruitment programs, or other effective strategies, that low-income students and minority students are not taught at higher rates than other students by unqualified, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.
25. Use the results of the student academic assessments required under section 1111(b)(3), and other measures or indicators available to the agency, to review annually the progress the school to determine whether it is making the progress necessary to ensure that all students will meet the State's proficient level of achievement on the State academic assessments described in Section 1111(b)(3) within 12 years from the baseline year described in Section 1111(b)(2)(E)(ii).
26. Ensure that the results from the academic assessments required under section 1111(b)(3) will be provided to parents and teachers as soon as is practicably possible after the test is taken, in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language or other mode of communication that the parents can understand.
27. Develop or identify examples of high-quality, effective curricula consistent with section 1111(b)(8)(D) and California *Education Code* Section 64001.
28. For schools in school improvement status, ensure that not less than ten percent of their Title I funds are spent to provide professional development (in the area[s] of identification to teachers and principals) for each fiscal year.
29. Prepare and disseminate an annual SSD report card in accordance with Section 1111(h)(2).

TITLE I, PART D – SUBPART 2

30. Where feasible, ensure that educational programs in the correctional facility are coordinated with the student's home school, particularly with respect to a student with an individualized education program under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.
31. Work to ensure that the correctional facility is staffed with teachers and other qualified staffs that are trained to work with children and youth with disabilities taking into consideration the unique needs of such children and youth.
32. Ensure that the educational programs in the correctional facility are related to assisting students to meet high academic achievement standards.

TITLE II, PART A

34. The SSD, hereby, assures that:

- The SSD will comply with Section 9501 (regarding participation by private school children and teachers).
- The SSD has performed the required assessment of local needs for professional development and hiring, taking into account the activities that need to be conducted in order to give teachers the means, including subject matter knowledge and pedagogy skills, and to give principals the instructional leadership skills to help teachers, to provide students with the opportunity to meet California's academic content standards. This needs assessment was conducted with the involvement of teachers, including teachers participating in programs under Part A of Title I.
- The SSD will assure compliance with the requirements of professional development as defined in Section 9101 (34).

TITLE II, PART D

35. The SSD has an updated, local, long-range, strategic, educational technology plan in place that includes the following:

- Strategies for using technology to improve academic achievement and teacher effectiveness.
- Goals aligned with challenging state standards for using advanced technology to improve student academic achievement.
- Steps the applicant will take to ensure that all students and teachers have increased access to technology and to help ensure that teachers are prepared to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction.
- Promotion of curricula and teaching strategies that integrate technology, are based on a review of relevant research, and lead to improvements in student academic achievement.
- Ongoing, sustained professional development for teachers, principals, administrators, and school library media personnel to further the effective use of technology in the classroom or library media center.

- A description of the type and costs of technology to be acquired with Ed Tech funds, including provisions for interoperability of components.
 - A description of how the applicant will coordinate activities funded through the Ed Tech program with technology-related activities supported with funds from other sources.
 - A description of how the applicant will integrate technology into curricula and instruction, and a timeline for this integration.
 - Innovative delivery strategies – a description of how the applicant will encourage the development and use of innovative strategies for the delivery of specialized or rigorous courses and curricula through the use of technology, including distance learning technologies, particularly in areas that would not otherwise have access to such courses or curricula due to geographical distances or insufficient resources.
 - A description of how the applicant will use technology effectively to promote parental involvement and increase communication with parents.
 - Collaboration with adult literacy service providers.
 - Accountability measures – a description of the process and accountability measures that the applicant will use to evaluate the extent to which activities funded under the program are effective in integrating technology into curricula and instruction, increasing the ability of teachers to teach, and enabling student to reach challenging state academic standards.
 - Supporting resources – a description of the supporting resources, such as services, software, other electronically delivered learning materials, and print resources that will be acquired to ensure successful and effective uses of technology.
36. The SSD must use a minimum of 25 percent of their funds to provide ongoing, sustained, and intensive high quality professional development in the integration of advanced technology into curricula and instruction and in using those technologies to create new learning environments.
37. **Any SSD that does not receive services at discount rates under section 254(h)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 254(h)(5)) hereby assures the SEA** that the SSD will not use any Title II, Part D funds to purchase computers used to access the Internet, or to pay for direct costs associated with accessing the Internet, for such school unless the school, school board, local educational agency, or other authority with responsibility for administration of such school:
- has in place a policy of Internet safety for minors that includes the operation of a technology protection measure with respect to any of its computers with Internet access that protects against access through such computers to visual depictions that are obscene, child pornography, or harmful to minors; and
 - is enforcing the operation of such technology protection measure during any use of such computers by minors; and
 - has in place a policy of Internet safety that includes the operation of a technology protection measure with respect to any of its computers with Internet access that protects against access through such computers to visual depictions

that are obscene or child pornography, and is enforcing the operation of such technology protection measure during any use of such computers.

- Any SSD that does receive such discount rates hereby assures the SEA that it will have in place a policy of Internet safety for minors required by Federal or State law.

TITLE III

38. The SSD assures that it consulted with teachers, researchers, school administrators, parents, and, if appropriate, with education-related community groups, nonprofit organizations, and institutions of higher education in developing the SSD Plan.
39. The SSD will be accountable for increasing English language proficiency and for LEP subgroups making adequate yearly progress.
40. The SSD is complying with Section 3302 prior to, and throughout, each school year.
41. The SSD annually will assess the English proficiency of all students with limited English proficiency participating in programs funded under this part.
42. The SSD has based its proposed plan on scientifically based research on teaching limited-English-proficient students.
43. The SSD ensures that the programs will enable English Learners to speak, read, write, and comprehend the English language and meet challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards.
44. The SSD is not in violation of any State law, including State constitutional law, regarding the education of limited-English-proficient students, consistent with Sections 3126 and 3127.

TITLE IV, PART A

45. The SSD assures that it has developed its application through timely and meaningful consultation with State and local government representatives, representatives of private schools to be served, teachers and other staff, parents, students, community-based organizations, and others with relevant and demonstrated expertise in drug and violence prevention activities (such as medical, mental health, and law enforcement professionals).
46. The activities or programs to be funded comply with the principles of effectiveness described in section 4115(a) and foster a safe and drug-free learning environment that supports academic achievement.
47. The SSD assures that funds under this subpart will be used to increase the level of State, local, and other non-Federal funds that would, in the absence of funds under this subpart, be made available for programs and activities authorized under this subpart, and in no case supplant such State, local, and other non-Federal funds.
48. Drug and violence prevention programs supported under this subpart convey a clear and consistent message that acts of violence and the illegal use of drugs are wrong and harmful.

49. The SSD has a plan for keeping the school safe and drug-free that includes:

- Appropriate and effective school discipline policies that prohibit disorderly conduct, the illegal possession of weapons, and the illegal use, possession, distribution, and sale of tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs by students.
- Security procedures at school and while students are on the way to and from school.

- Prevention activities that are designed to create and maintain safe, disciplined, and drug-free environments.

- A crisis management plan for responding to violent or traumatic incidents on school grounds.

- A code of conduct policy for all students that clearly states the responsibilities of students, teachers, and administrators in maintaining a classroom environment that:
 - Allows a teacher to communicate effectively with all students in the class.
 - Allows all students in the class to learn.
 - Has consequences that are fair, and developmentally appropriate.
 - Considers the student and the circumstances of the situation.
 - Is enforced accordingly.

50. The application and any waiver request under Section 4115(a)(3) (to allow innovative activities or programs that demonstrate substantial likelihood of success) will be available for public review after submission of the application.

TITLE IV, PART A, SUBPART 3

51. The SSD assures that it has, in effect, a written policy providing for the suspension from school for a period of not less than one year of any student who is determined to have brought a firearm to school or who possesses a firearm at school and the referral of a student who has brought a weapon or firearm to the criminal or juvenile justice system. Such a policy may allow the Superintendent to modify such suspension requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis.

TITLE V, PART A

52. The SSD has provided, in the allocation of funds for the assistance authorized by this part and in the planning, design, and implementation of such innovative assistance programs, for systematic consultation with parents, teachers and administrative personnel, and with such other groups involved in the implementation of this part (such as librarians, school counselors, and other pupil services personnel) as may be considered appropriate by the SSD.

53. The SSD will comply with this Part, including the provisions of Section 5142 concerning the participation of children enrolled in private nonprofit schools.

54. The SSD will keep such records, and provide such information to the SEA, as may be reasonably required for fiscal audit and program evaluation.

55. The SSD will annually evaluate the programs carried out under this Part, and that evaluation:

- will be used to make decisions about appropriate changes in programs for the subsequent year;
- will describe how assistance under this part affected student academic achievement and will include, at a minimum, information and data on the use of funds, the types of services furnished, and the students served under this part; and
- will be submitted to the SEA at the time and in the manner requested by the SEA.

New LEAP Assurances

56. Uniform Management Information and Reporting System: the SSD assures that it will provide to the California Department of Education (CDE) information for the uniform management information and reporting system required by No Child Left Behind, Title IV in the format prescribed by CDE. That information will include:

(i) truancy rates;

(ii) the frequency, seriousness, and incidence of violence and drug-related offenses resulting in suspensions and expulsions in elementary schools and secondary schools in the State;

(iii) the types of curricula, programs, and services provided by the chief executive officer, the State educational agency, local educational agencies, and other recipients of funds under this subpart; and

(iv) the incidence and prevalence, age of onset, perception of health risk, and perception of social disapproval of drug use and violence by youth in schools and communities. (Section 4112, General Provisions, Title IV, Part A, PL 107-110)

57. Unsafe School Choice Policy: the SSD assures that it will establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the State, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, as determined by State law, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary school or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school. The SSD will submit on a format to be designated by CDE the information the state requires to complete annual federal reporting requirements on the number of schools that have been designated "persistently dangerous" in accordance with California State Board of Education policy. (Section 9532, General Provisions, Title IX, PL 107-110.)

Other

58. The SSD assures that a minimum of 95 percent of all students and a minimum number of students in each subgroup (at both the school and district levels) will participate in the state's assessments program.

Print Name of Director

Signature of Director

Date

School Site Council Recommendations and Assurances

Pacific View Charter school is a charter school and thus has a Board of Directors as opposed to a Site Council.

The Board of Directors recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

1. The Board of Directors is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
2. The Board of Directors reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the school plan requiring board approval.
3. The Board of Directors sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (**Check those that apply**):

___ School Advisory Committee for State Compensatory Education Programs

___ English Learner Advisory Committee

___ Community Advisory Committee for Special Education Programs

___ Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee

___ Other (**list**)

4. The Board of Directors reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this *Single Plan for Student Achievement* and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the LEA Plan.
5. This school plan is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6. This school plan was adopted by the Board of Directors at a public meeting on:
_____.

Attested:

Typed name of Director

Signature of Director

Date

Typed name of Board President

Signature of Board President

Date

APPENDIX A

On May 30, 2002, the California State Board of Education (SBE) adopted the five goals and 12 performance indicators for No Child Left Behind, as set forth in the Federal Register Notice of May 22, 2002. The SBE's adoption of the specified goals and performance indicators represents California's commitment to the development of an accountability system to achieve the goals of NCLB.

Collectively, NCLB's goals, performance indicators, and performance targets constitute California's framework for ESEA *accountability*. The framework provides the basis for the state's improvement efforts, informing policy decisions by the SBE and implementation efforts by the California Department of Education (CDE) to fully realize the system envisioned by NCLB; it also provides a basis for coordination with the State Legislature and the Governor's Office.

California's NCLB Performance Goals and Performance Indicators

Performance Goal 1: *All students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading and mathematics, by 2013-2014.*

- 1.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are above the proficient level in reading on the State's assessment. (These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)
- 1.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment. (These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in Section 1111(h)(C)(i).)
- 1.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

Performance Goal 2: *All limited-English-proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.*

- 2.1. **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year.
- 2.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.

- 2.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State’s assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Performance Goal 3: *By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.*

- 3.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of classes being taught by “highly qualified” teachers (as the term is defined in Section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate and in “high-poverty” schools (as the term is defined in Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the ESEA).
- 3.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of teachers receiving high-quality professional development. (See definition of “professional development” in Section 9101(34).)
- 3.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parent involvement assistants) who are qualified. (See criteria in Section 1119(c) and (d).)

Performance Goal 4: *All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.*

- 4.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State.

Performance Goal 5: *All students will graduate from high school.*

- 5.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students who graduate from high school, with a regular diploma:
- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged; and,
 - calculated in the same manner as used in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.
- 5.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students who drop out of school:
- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged; and
 - calculated in the same manner as used in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

APPENDIX B

Links to Data Web sites

Below is a listing of Web site links for accessing district-level data and information to be used by the SSD in developing this Plan:

- Academic Performance Index (API)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api/index.htm>
- California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/demographics/coord/>
- California English Language Development Test (CELDT)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/celdt/celdt.html>
- California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/cahsee/eval/eval.html>
- California Standardized Test (CST)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/index.html>
- DataQuest
<http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>
- School Accountability Report Card (SARC)
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ope/sarc/>
- Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/star/index.html>
- Guide and Template for the Single Plan for Student Achievement
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/singleplan.asp>
- Guide and Template for the Local Educational Agency Plan
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/>